HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

LINGUOPOETIC AND PRAGMATIC FEATURES OF APHORISMS

Ashurova Feruza Lutpullayevna
Uzbekistan state world languages university

ABSTRACT

This article examines aphorisms as compact linguistic and aesthetic units in which poetic form, semantic density and pragmatic influence function together. The study focuses on the linguopoetic features of aphorisms, including brevity, imagery, parallelism, antithesis, metaphor, rhythm, semantic compression and expressive evaluation. It also analyzes their pragmatic characteristics: the ability to persuade, warn, evaluate, instruct, summarize experience and create shared cultural understanding between speaker and listener. The research is based on descriptive, comparative, semantic-stylistic and pragmatic methods. Aphorisms are treated not only as memorable sayings but also as communicative acts that transform general life experience into a concise verbal formula. The findings show that the strength of an aphorism is created by the interaction of two dimensions: its artistic organization and its contextual effect. In literary texts aphorisms may strengthen authorial position, reveal character psychology and create philosophical depth, while in public speech and everyday communication they perform persuasive and evaluative functions. The article concludes that aphorisms are important for linguistic research because they unite language economy, aesthetic expressiveness and social-pragmatic relevance.

Keywords: aphorism, linguopoetics, pragmatics, stylistics, brevity, metaphor, antithesis, communicative effect, cultural semantics, speech act.

INTRODUCTION

Aphorisms occupy a special place among short forms of verbal art because they express a broad idea in a limited linguistic space. They may appear as philosophical statements, moral observations, authorial conclusions, witty comments or generalized judgments about human life. Unlike ordinary sentences, aphorisms are designed to be remembered, repeated and applied to different situations. Their linguistic power lies in a combination of semantic concentration and artistic clarity. A successful aphorism does not simply report information; it condenses experience into a formula that can circulate independently from its original context. For this reason, aphorisms are studied in linguistics, stylistics, literary criticism, cultural studies and pragmatics.

The term aphorism is usually connected with a brief and complete expression of thought. However, the brevity of an aphorism should not be understood as simple shortness. It is a special form of linguistic economy, where every lexical and syntactic element carries a functional load. A sentence becomes aphoristic when it produces a generalizing effect, contains semantic tension and offers a memorable interpretation of reality. Many aphorisms are based on contrast, metaphor, irony or parallel structure. These devices create not only beauty of expression but also intellectual impact. Therefore, the study of aphorisms requires attention to both form and function.

In literary discourse, aphorisms often serve as signs of authorial wisdom and artistic mastery. Writers use them to express philosophical ideas, summarize the moral position of a narrative or intensify the emotional and intellectual atmosphere of a text. An aphorism may appear in the voice of the narrator, in the speech of a character or as an independent statement within a broader composition. In each case, it performs a complex function: it organizes meaning, attracts attention and invites the

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

reader to reflect. The reader perceives an aphorism as a point of semantic emphasis, because it seems to contain a larger truth than the surrounding narrative material.

From the linguopoetic point of view, aphorisms are valuable because they show how language can become artistic through compression. Linguopoetics studies the interaction between linguistic form and aesthetic effect. In aphorisms this interaction is especially visible. Lexical choices, syntactic order, phonetic rhythm and figurative meaning work together to create a compact artistic whole. For example, antithesis may oppose two concepts and make the statement sharp; metaphor may transform an abstract idea into a vivid image; repetition may provide rhythm and memorability. Thus, the linguopoetic analysis of aphorisms reveals how minimal text can produce maximal meaning.

From the pragmatic point of view, aphorisms are important because they influence the addressee. They may advise, warn, criticize, encourage, persuade or legitimize a speaker's argument. In everyday communication, a person may use an aphorism to avoid long explanation and to appeal to shared knowledge. In publicistic and political discourse, aphoristic expressions can make an idea sound authoritative and memorable. In pedagogical discourse, they may function as moral instruction. In literary discourse, they may guide the interpretation of characters and events. Consequently, aphorisms are not only stylistic ornaments; they are communicative tools. The relevance of the present study is determined by the growing interest in short and memorable verbal forms in modern communication. In the age of social media, quotations, slogans, captions and compressed statements circulate rapidly. Although not every short statement is an aphorism, the popularity of concise expressive forms shows the continuing value of aphoristic thinking. Studying aphorisms helps to understand how language expresses wisdom, evaluation and persuasion in a compact structure. It also helps to distinguish between ordinary quotation, proverb, maxim and aphorism, which are often confused in everyday usage. The aim of this article is to analyze the linguopoetic and pragmatic features of aphorisms and to define their role in literary and communicative discourse. The objectives are: to describe the main theoretical approaches to aphorism; to identify the stylistic devices that create aphoristic expressiveness; to examine the pragmatic functions of aphorisms; and to show how their poetic organization supports their communicative power. The object of the study is the aphorism as a short textual unit. The subject is the relationship between its linguopoetic structure and pragmatic effect. The research hypothesis is that the persuasive and memorable nature of aphorisms depends on the unity of artistic form and communicative intention.

The scientific novelty of the article lies in the integrated interpretation of aphorisms as both aesthetic and pragmatic phenomena. Many studies describe aphorisms as wise sayings or literary quotations, while others compare them with proverbs and maxims. This article emphasizes that aphorisms function at the intersection of poetics and pragmatics. Their meaning cannot be fully understood only by analyzing vocabulary and syntax; it is also necessary to consider the communicative situation, the addressee's background knowledge, cultural codes and the speaker's intention. Therefore, the study uses an interdisciplinary framework combining stylistic, semantic and pragmatic analysis.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND METHODOLOGY

The theoretical study of aphorisms is connected with several related fields: paremiology, stylistics, text linguistics, pragmatics and literary poetics. Paremiology traditionally focuses on proverbs, sayings and other fixed expressions. Although aphorisms share certain features with proverbs, they cannot be completely identified with them. A proverb usually belongs to collective folk experience and often has an anonymous origin, while an aphorism may be created by a known author and may reflect an individual worldview. This distinction is important because authorial aphorisms often contain a higher degree of stylistic uniqueness and philosophical subjectivity. At the same time,

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

after frequent use, some authorial aphorisms may enter common speech and become close to proverbial expressions. Scholars of proverbs and short forms such as N. R. Norrick and W. Mieder have shown that concise expressions preserve cultural values and social experience in highly compressed language. Their observations are useful for aphorism studies because aphorisms also encode generalizations about life, morality, knowledge and human relations. However, the aphorism differs from the proverb in the degree of textual independence and artistic authorship. It can be more paradoxical, more individual and sometimes more intellectually provocative. Proverbs often provide traditional wisdom, while aphorisms may challenge tradition and create a new perspective. This difference makes aphorisms especially significant for linguopoetic analysis. Stylistic theory provides another important basis for the study. In stylistics, the expressive effect of a text is explained through the interaction of lexical, syntactic, phonetic and semantic devices. Aphorisms frequently use antithesis, parallelism, metaphor, metonymy, paradox, gradation, repetition and ellipsis. These devices are not accidental decorations. They organize the statement so that it becomes balanced, sharp and memorable. For example, antithesis gives an aphorism logical tension; metaphor gives it imagery; parallelism gives it rhythm; ellipsis increases semantic compression. The stylistic form becomes a mechanism of thought.

The linguopoetic approach, associated with the study of language in artistic function, allows aphorisms to be interpreted as mini-texts with internal composition. R. Jakobson's idea of the poetic function of language is particularly relevant here, because aphorisms draw attention to their own verbal form. The choice of words, the symmetry of syntactic structures and the sound organization of the sentence contribute to the message. In an aphorism, content and form are inseparable. If the same idea is expressed in a long explanatory sentence, it may lose its aphoristic quality. Therefore, the poetic arrangement of linguistic elements is not secondary; it is one of the conditions that makes a statement aphoristic. Pragmatics, in turn, explains how aphorisms work in communication. The theories of J. L. Austin and J. R. Searle on speech acts show that utterances do not merely describe reality but perform actions. From this perspective, aphorisms may function as advice, warning, evaluation, criticism, consolation or argument. H. P. Grice's theory of conversational implicature is also relevant, since aphorisms often communicate more than they explicitly say. Their meaning depends on inference. The addressee must interpret the general statement and connect it with a concrete situation. This inferential process is one of the reasons why aphorisms can be powerful and flexible. Another important concept is presupposition. Aphorisms usually rely on shared cultural and moral assumptions. When a speaker uses an aphorism, the speaker assumes that the listener can recognize its general truth or at least understand its evaluative direction. For example, an aphorism about time, knowledge or friendship may presuppose common values about diligence, loyalty or wisdom. Because of this, aphorisms can create solidarity between interlocutors. At the same time, they can also create distance or irony if used critically. Their pragmatic force depends on the relationship between speaker, listener, context and cultural background. The study also takes into account the difference between aphorism, maxim, proverb, quotation and slogan. A maxim is usually a rule of conduct; a proverb is a traditional collective saying; a quotation is a repeated fragment from another text; a slogan is a persuasive formula used in social or political communication. An aphorism may overlap with all these categories, but it remains distinct because of its combination of authorial generalization, stylistic refinement and semantic autonomy. This article therefore treats aphorism as a concise, complete and aesthetically organized statement that expresses a generalized judgment and produces a pragmatic effect.

The methodology of the research is qualitative and descriptive. It includes semantic analysis, stylistic analysis, contextual interpretation and pragmatic classification. Semantic analysis is used to

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

identify the main conceptual fields of aphorisms, such as life, knowledge, truth, love, time, morality, speech and power. Stylistic analysis is used to determine the linguistic devices that create expressiveness. Pragmatic analysis is used to identify communicative functions: instruction, persuasion, evaluation, warning, irony, consolation and generalization. These methods complement each other because aphorisms cannot be interpreted fully through one method only. The research material is understood broadly as a set of aphoristic expressions found in literary, philosophical and publicistic discourse. The article does not aim to compile a complete corpus; rather, it develops an analytical model applicable to different types of aphorisms. The analysis is based on the principle that the aphorism is a microtext. A microtext has boundaries, internal cohesion, semantic completeness and communicative intention. Even when it appears inside a larger text, it can be separated and quoted because it contains a complete idea. This textual independence is one of the most important structural features of aphorisms.

In the methodological procedure, each aphoristic unit is examined according to several questions. First, what concept or value does the statement generalize? Second, what linguistic devices produce its expressive effect? Third, what implicit meaning or presupposition does it contain? Fourth, what communicative function does it perform in context? Fifth, how does its form support its pragmatic purpose? These questions make it possible to describe aphorisms systematically rather than impressionistically. The method also helps to show that aphoristic meaning is not limited to dictionary meanings of words; it is created by the interaction of structure, imagery and communicative use. The comparative element of the methodology is used when necessary to distinguish aphorisms from neighboring genres. For instance, the same idea may exist as a proverb in folk speech, as an aphorism in literary discourse and as a slogan in public discourse. The difference lies not only in wording but also in origin, function and context. This comparison is important for avoiding terminological confusion. In practical research, many short expressions are called aphorisms simply because they are short and memorable. A scientific approach requires more exact criteria: semantic generalization, stylistic compactness, textual completeness and pragmatic relevance.

The limitations of the study should also be mentioned. Aphorisms are culturally diverse, and their interpretation may differ across languages and communities. A statement that sounds wise and elegant in one language may lose its effect in translation. The article therefore emphasizes general linguopoetic and pragmatic principles rather than language-specific statistics. Future research may expand the study through corpus methods, translation analysis or comparison of aphorisms in Uzbek, English and other languages. Nevertheless, the present qualitative approach is suitable for identifying the main mechanisms that make aphorisms expressive and influential.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis shows that the first major linguopoetic feature of aphorisms is brevity combined with semantic density. Brevity in aphorisms is not a mechanical reduction of words but a meaningful concentration. A short statement becomes aphoristic when it contains a generalized idea, an evaluative position and a memorable linguistic form. Semantic density is created through the selection of abstract nouns, value words, oppositions and figurative images. Words such as truth, time, freedom, wisdom, silence, love, power and knowledge frequently appear in aphoristic discourse because they denote broad human concepts. Through these words, the aphorism connects individual experience with universal meaning. The second important feature is structural balance. Many aphorisms are built on parallel constructions, symmetrical clauses or binary oppositions. This structure helps the reader or listener to perceive the statement as complete and logically organized. Parallelism creates rhythm, while opposition creates intellectual tension. Antithesis is especially productive because it allows the aphorism to compare two values or two states: appearance and essence, speech and silence, knowledge

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

and ignorance, freedom and responsibility. The sharpness of an aphorism often comes from this contrast. It makes the statement easy to remember and difficult to ignore.

The third feature is figurativeness. Aphorisms often transform abstract thought into a concrete image. Metaphor is the most common mechanism of this transformation. Through metaphor, life may be represented as a road, time as a river, knowledge as light, ignorance as darkness, speech as a weapon or silence as gold. Such images do not only decorate the statement; they organize understanding. A metaphorical aphorism invites the addressee to see a familiar concept from a new angle. This is why aphorisms can be both aesthetically pleasing and cognitively effective. They do not merely say what is already known; they reshape knowledge into a memorable form.

The fourth feature is paradox. A paradoxical aphorism appears contradictory at first sight but reveals a deeper truth after reflection. Paradox is important because it activates the reader's thinking. It prevents passive reception and forces interpretation. For example, a statement may suggest that silence speaks, that weakness can be strength or that loss can become knowledge. The contradiction is not meaningless; it expresses the complexity of human experience. In pragmatic terms, paradox increases the involvement of the addressee because the meaning must be reconstructed through inference. This makes the aphorism intellectually attractive.

The fifth feature is evaluative orientation. Aphorisms rarely remain neutral. They usually contain a value judgment, either explicit or implicit. They approve or criticize behavior, praise wisdom, condemn hypocrisy, warn against pride, support patience or emphasize moral responsibility. Evaluation may be expressed through adjectives, modal constructions, comparative forms or metaphorical images. Even when an aphorism seems descriptive, it usually contains a hidden norm. This evaluative nature explains why aphorisms are often used in moral, educational and persuasive discourse.

Table 1. Integrated model of linguopoetic and pragmatic features of aphorisms

Linguopoetic feature	Typical linguistic device	Pragmatic function	Expected communicative effect
Brevity and semantic density	Ellipsis, abstract nouns, compressed syntax	Generalization and memorization	The idea is perceived as complete, sharp and easily repeatable.
Contrast and balance	Antithesis, parallelism, binary opposition	Evaluation, persuasion, criticism	The addressee sees the difference between values, actions or moral positions.
Figurativeness	Metaphor, metonymy, symbolic image	Emotional influence and explanation	An abstract concept becomes vivid and cognitively accessible.
Paradox and irony	Logical contradiction, unexpected turn, implicit irony	Intellectual activation and re-evaluation	The reader reconstructs hidden meaning and reflects on deeper truth.
Rhythm and memorability	Repetition, alliteration, syntactic	Retention and circulation in	The statement is remembered, quoted

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

	symmetry	discourse	and reused in new contexts.

The table demonstrates that the poetic and pragmatic dimensions of aphorisms are inseparable. A stylistic device becomes pragmatically meaningful when it influences interpretation. Antithesis is not only a form of contrast; it also persuades the addressee to choose one value over another. Metaphor is not only an image; it helps to explain an abstract idea through a familiar conceptual frame. Paradox is not only a logical game; it makes the addressee reconsider ordinary assumptions. Thus, the artistic organization of aphorisms directly supports their communicative power.

One of the central pragmatic functions of aphorisms is instruction. Aphorisms often provide advice without sounding like direct commands. This indirectness is important. A direct command may cause resistance, while an aphorism presents advice as general wisdom. The speaker does not say 'You must do this'; instead, the speaker offers a universal statement that implies a recommended behavior. This strategy makes aphorisms useful in educational, family and social communication. They allow the speaker to guide the addressee while maintaining politeness and cultural authority. Another function is persuasion. In argumentation, an aphorism can serve as a condensed proof or supporting statement. Because it sounds complete and general, it may strengthen the speaker's position. Public speakers, journalists and writers often use aphoristic expressions to make their ideas more memorable. A well-formed aphorism can summarize a complex argument in one sentence. However, this persuasive force has a double nature. It can communicate wisdom, but it can also oversimplify complex problems. Therefore, the pragmatic analysis of aphorisms should consider not only their beauty but also their argumentative role.

Aphorisms also perform an evaluative function. They help speakers express approval or disapproval in an indirect and socially acceptable way. For example, instead of directly criticizing a person, a speaker may use an aphorism about pride, ignorance or irresponsibility. The listener understands the implication through context. This indirect evaluation can be more powerful than direct criticism because it appeals to shared moral knowledge. In literary texts, evaluative aphorisms may reveal the narrator's worldview or expose a character's moral position. In everyday speech, they may regulate behavior and reinforce social norms. The pragmatic function of warning is closely connected with evaluation. Many aphorisms warn against haste, arrogance, empty speech, betrayal or ignorance. The warning is usually formulated as a general observation rather than a specific prediction. This gives the aphorism a timeless quality. The statement seems applicable not only to one situation but to many possible situations. The addressee is invited to recognize the relevance of the general truth to the present context. Such indirect warning is common in cultures where moral instruction is often expressed through figurative and concise forms. Aphorisms may also function as tools of consolation and encouragement. A short statement about patience, hope or endurance can help a person reinterpret a difficult situation. In this case, the aphorism does not provide factual information; it provides a perspective. The pragmatic effect is emotional regulation. The listener receives a verbal formula that makes suffering meaningful or manageable. This function is especially visible in religious, philosophical and motivational discourse. It shows that aphorisms are not merely intellectual statements; they participate in the emotional life of communication.

In literary discourse, aphorisms create philosophical depth. A novel, story or poem may include aphoristic statements that rise above the immediate plot and express broader ideas. Such statements can become the most quoted parts of a work. They may also influence the reader's interpretation of the entire text. When a character uses aphorisms, this may indicate intelligence, irony, moral authority or emotional distance. When the narrator uses aphorisms, they may function as authorial commentary. In both cases, aphorisms connect narrative events with universal reflection.

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

Aphorisms are also important for character construction. The manner in which a character formulates or uses aphoristic expressions can reveal social status, education, worldview and psychological state. A wise character may use aphorisms to teach others; a cynical character may use them ironically; a comic character may distort aphorisms for humorous effect. Therefore, aphorisms are not only autonomous statements but also elements of characterization. Their pragmatic effect depends on who says them, to whom, under what circumstances and with what intention. Cultural semantics plays a significant role in the interpretation of aphorisms. Many aphorisms are based on culturally important concepts: honor, patience, knowledge, hospitality, justice, loyalty, family, labor and speech. The same aphorism may be interpreted differently in different cultural settings because values and associations differ. For example, silence may be associated with wisdom in one context, but with weakness or consent in another. This means that the pragmatic meaning of an aphorism is not universal in an absolute sense. It is universalized through language, but it is interpreted through cultural experience. The relationship between aphorism and context is complex. On the one hand, an aphorism is contextually independent because it can be quoted separately. On the other hand, its actual meaning in communication depends on context. The same aphorism may comfort, criticize, advise or mock depending on the situation. This flexibility is one of the reasons for the durability of aphorisms. They can move from literature to everyday speech, from private conversation to public discourse, from printed texts to digital media. Each new context actualizes a different shade of meaning. In modern digital communication, aphoristic forms have become especially visible. Social networks favor short, memorable and visually attractive statements. Many users share quotations, motivational phrases and concise observations that resemble aphorisms. This tendency confirms the pragmatic value of brevity. However, digital circulation also changes the status of aphorisms. Authorship may become uncertain, context may disappear and complex ideas may be reduced to decorative phrases. Scientific analysis should therefore distinguish authentic aphoristic thought from superficial short statements. Not every quote posted online has real aphoristic depth.

The linguistic economy of aphorisms is connected with their cognitive effect. A compact statement reduces processing effort but increases interpretive reward. The addressee receives a short form that invites broad reflection. This balance corresponds to the pragmatic principle that successful communication should be relevant and efficient. Aphorisms are efficient because they are short; they are relevant because they connect with shared human experience. When poetic form increases memorability, the statement becomes more likely to be repeated. Repetition then reinforces its social authority. Another result concerns the role of implicit meaning. Aphorisms often depend on what is not directly said. Ellipsis, metaphor and paradox leave space for interpretation. The listener or reader completes the meaning by drawing on background knowledge. This makes aphorisms interactive. Their meaning is not passively received; it is co-created by the addressee. In this sense, aphorisms are dialogic even when they appear as monologic statements. They provoke internal dialogue: the reader agrees, disagrees, applies, compares or reinterprets.

The discussion also shows that aphorisms have a strong mnemonic structure. The best aphorisms are easy to remember because they use rhythm, balance, repetition and semantic closure. A statement with a clear beginning and end, a strong contrast and a memorable image is more likely to survive in cultural memory. This explains why aphorisms can pass from literary texts into common speech. Their form supports transmission. A long philosophical explanation may remain in a book, but an aphorism can live in oral communication and digital circulation. At the same time, aphorisms may contain ambiguity. Their generality makes them applicable to many situations, but it can also produce different interpretations. Ambiguity is not necessarily a weakness. In literary and philosophical discourse, it may increase depth. A statement that can be interpreted on several levels invites repeated

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

reading. However, in public communication, ambiguity can be used strategically to avoid responsibility or to manipulate interpretation. This again proves that aphorisms must be analyzed pragmatically, not only aesthetically.

The findings confirm that aphorisms are genre-like microtexts with recognizable structural and functional features. They are concise, complete, generalized, expressive and contextually mobile. Their linguopoetic features create their form; their pragmatic functions create their social life. The aphorism becomes effective when these two sides support each other. A statement that is short but stylistically weak may not be remembered. A statement that is beautiful but pragmatically empty may not influence communication. The strongest aphorisms unite verbal artistry with meaningful communicative purpose. The analysis also indicates that aphorisms are useful material for language teaching and literary education. They help students observe how complex meanings can be expressed through concise forms. Studying aphorisms develops stylistic sensitivity, cultural awareness and pragmatic competence. Learners can analyze metaphor, antithesis, implication and context through short texts before moving to larger literary works. In addition, translating aphorisms can develop awareness of semantic nuance, cultural equivalence and expressive form. Thus, aphorism analysis has both theoretical and practical value.

Overall, the results show that aphorisms should be approached as dynamic communicative phenomena. They are born in language, shaped by poetics, interpreted through culture and activated in context. Their power comes from the ability to make a general truth sound personal, memorable and persuasive. This explains why aphorisms remain relevant from classical literature to contemporary digital communication. Their form may be short, but their linguistic and pragmatic potential is extensive.

CONCLUSION

The study of aphorisms from linguopoetic and pragmatic perspectives demonstrates that aphorisms are not merely short wise sayings. They are complex microtexts in which linguistic economy, aesthetic organization and communicative influence are united. Their main linguopoetic features include brevity, semantic density, structural balance, antithesis, metaphor, paradox, rhythm and evaluative expressiveness. These features create the artistic form of aphorisms and make them memorable.

Pragmatically, aphorisms perform several important functions: they instruct, persuade, evaluate, warn, console, criticize, summarize and create solidarity. Their communicative power is often indirect. They express general truth while implying a concrete meaning in a specific context. The addressee plays an active role in interpreting the aphorism, connecting it with personal experience and cultural knowledge. Therefore, aphorisms are both textual and contextual phenomena.

The article has shown that the effectiveness of an aphorism depends on the unity of poetic form and pragmatic purpose. A linguistically well-organized aphorism becomes socially influential because it is easy to remember and flexible in use. It can move across contexts, from literature to everyday conversation and from printed texts to digital platforms. Future research may compare aphorisms in different languages, examine their translation problems or analyze their circulation in social media. Such studies will further reveal the importance of aphorisms as compact expressions of thought, culture and communicative strategy.

REFERENCES

1. Austin J. L. How to Do Things with Words. — Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1962. — 168 p.
2. Crystal D. A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics. — 6th ed. — Oxford: Blackwell Publishing, 2008. — 560 p.

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

3. Galperin I. R. Stylistics. — Moscow: Higher School, 1981. — 334 p.
4. Grice H. P. Logic and Conversation // Syntax and Semantics. Vol. 3: Speech Acts / ed. by P. Cole, J. Morgan. — New York: Academic Press, 1975. — P. 41–58.
5. Jakobson R. Linguistics and Poetics // Style in Language / ed. by T. A. Sebeok. — Cambridge: MIT Press, 1960. — P. 350–377.
6. Leech G. N. Principles of Pragmatics. — London; New York: Longman, 1983. — 250 p.
7. Mieder W. Proverbs: A Handbook. — Westport: Greenwood Press, 2004. — 304 p.
8. Norrick N. R. How Proverbs Mean: Semantic Studies in English Proverbs. — Berlin; New York: Mouton, 1985. — 213 p.
9. Searle J. R. Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1969. — 203 p.
10. Yule G. Pragmatics. — Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1996. — 138 p.
11. Qurbonova M., Yo‘ldoshev M. Matn tilshunosligi. — Toshkent: Universitet, 2014. — 176 b.
12. Yo‘ldoshev M. Badiiy matn lingvopoetikasi. — Toshkent: Fan, 2008. — 160 b.