HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

PROTECTION OF CONSUMER RIGHTS IN THE PROVISION OF MICROFINANCE SERVICES

Senior Lecturer: **Maksudov Orif Shakirovich**
Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service.
Mukhammadiyev Farkhod Jumanazarovich
Faculty of Banking and Auditing, Group BI-S-221
Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service.

Annotation: This article, analyzes the critical mechanisms and frameworks required for safeguarding consumer rights within the delivery of microfinance services. The rapid expansion of microfinance services across emerging markets has significantly boosted economic mobilization and grass-roots entrepreneurship. However, the aggressive deployment of microcredits has simultaneously exposed low-income consumers to unprecedented financial risks.

Keywords: consumer rights protection, microfinance services, financial inclusion, over-indebtedness, asymmetric information, vulnerable borrowers.

Introduction. Microfinance services have long been celebrated as a cornerstone of modern financial inclusion policies, particularly within transition economies and developing regions. By offering credit accessibility to individuals and micro-entities traditionally ignored by commercial banking institutions, microfinance organizations effectively democratize capital access. However, the unique demographic profile of microfinance consumers often characterized by low financial literacy, minimal collateral capacity, and economic vulnerability creates an inherent power imbalance between financial providers and borrowers.

While early academic discourse focused heavily on expanding the reach and scale of microcredit networks, contemporary financial crises have pivoted attention toward the quality and safety of these services. Without stringent safeguards, the mechanisms meant to alleviate economic distress can easily devolve into instruments of structural exploitation. Protecting consumer rights in this domain is not merely an ethical imperative; it is a structural necessity for maintaining the stability and public integrity of the broader financial ecosystem. This study critically analyzes the primary challenges in securing consumer welfare and explores regulatory paradigms required to construct a safer lending marketplace.

To comprehend the critical nature of consumer rights protection, one must analyze the structural market failures inherent to micro-lending. Economists frequently cite information asymmetry as a primary driver of consumer detriment. In a standard credit transaction, financial institutions generally possess superior knowledge regarding complex contractual clauses, compound interest calculations, hidden processing fees, and the long-term legal consequences of default. Low-income borrowers, often driven by immediate liquidity shortages, rarely have the capacity or resource base to decipher these opaque financial parameters.

Furthermore, the behavioral economics of vulnerable consumers reveals that immediate economic pressure amplifies present-bias the tendency to overvalue immediate financial relief while severely underestimating future repayment burdens. Schicks asserts that conventional microfinance models, which prioritize high institutional repayment rates above all else, often fail to measure the qualitative impact of debt on household well-being. True consumer protection requires a fundamental theoretical shift: measuring the success of a microfinance network should not merely rely on the

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

volume of loans disbursed or low default rates, but rather on the long-term economic improvement and preservation of the borrower's livelihood.

The most pervasive threat to consumer rights in the microfinance market is the phenomenon of chronic over-indebtedness. As financial markets mature and multiple microfinance organizations begin competing within the same geographical or digital space, a supply-driven credit boom frequently occurs. When multiple lenders compete aggressively for market share without sharing comprehensive credit data through a synchronized credit bureau, borrowers are frequently granted multiple simultaneous loans. This overlapping credit injection creates an artificial sense of financial capacity. Borrowers inevitably fall into a debt-trap cycle, utilizing capital from a new microcredit facility solely to service existing debt obligations.

The consequences of this cycle extend far beyond financial insolvency. For microfinance consumers, over-indebtedness triggers severe psychological trauma, household asset depletion, and social marginalization. Academic research indicates that when a low-income household is forced to reduce essential consumption, such as nutrition or children's education, to meet rigid weekly or monthly microcredit repayment schedules, the microfinance institution has effectively violated the core principle of developmental finance. Regulatory interventions must therefore mandate strict debt-to-income caps and comprehensive creditworthiness evaluations before any capital is distributed.

Another deep-seated challenge in the current microfinance landscape is the lack of meaningful transparency regarding the total cost of credit. While many non-bank credit organizations claim to maintain open communication, the pricing mechanisms utilized are often deliberately labyrinthine. Microfinance institutions frequently advertise flat interest rates or low monthly percentages, which appear highly attractive to an unsophisticated borrower.

True transparency demands that microfinance organizations explicitly display the annualized percentage rate in a standardized, easily digestible format on the very first page of any contractual agreement. Consumers have an absolute right to understand exactly how much money they will receive, the absolute total sum they are legally bound to return, and the precise financial consequences of a delayed payment. Forcing institutions to communicate in plain language rather than dense legalese is a crucial step in rebalancing the lender-borrower relationship. As microfinance services increasingly migrate to digital platforms and mobile applications, the methods used to enforce repayment have undergone a dangerous transformation. While traditional microfinance relied heavily on peer pressure through community groups, modern digital lenders frequently employ aggressive, intrusive tactics that violate basic human dignity and privacy rights.

In many developing financial markets, digital microfinance apps require users to grant extensive smartphone permissions, including access to personal contact lists, photo galleries, and location data, as a prerequisite for loan approval. In the event of a payment delay, collection agents often utilize this private data to systematically harass the borrower’s family, friends, and employers. This digital shaming not only inflicts profound psychological distress but also breaches fundamental constitutional rights regarding data privacy.

Constitutional and financial regulators must establish clear boundaries regarding acceptable debt collection behavior. Legally enforceable frameworks must strictly prohibit contact with third parties without explicit legal consent, restrict the timing and frequency of communication, and ban any form of verbal intimidation or public exposure. Protecting the dignity of the debtor during the recovery process is just as vital as protecting the capital of the creditor.

Safeguarding consumer rights within the microfinance sector is an ongoing challenge that requires continuous institutional evolution. As the financial landscape grows increasingly complex, reliance on market self-regulation is clearly insufficient to protect vulnerable populations from

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

predatory practices. To build a sustainable, inclusive, and safe financial ecosystem, regulatory authorities must adopt an assertive consumer protection stance.

In conclusion, ensuring the safety and sustainability of the non-bank credit sector requires absolute systemic transformations that prioritize borrower welfare. To achieve this, governments should mandate the total disclosure of unified annual percentage rates across all non-bank credit channels to effectively eliminate predatory pricing cloaked in hidden fees. The integration of comprehensive, real-time credit monitoring systems is non-negotiable to prevent harmful cross-borrowing practices and mitigate systemic household over-indebtedness. Strict data privacy regulations must be rigorously enforced to eliminate the predatory overreach of digital collection mechanisms that violate human dignity.

References:

1. Schicks, J. The definition and causes of microfinance over-indebtedness: A customer protection perspective. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 2013 – 212 p.
2. Guérin, I., Morvant-Roux, S., Villarreal, M. Microfinance, debt and over-indebtedness: Juggling with money. London: Routledge. 2014 – 288 p.
3. Central Bank of the Republic of Uzbekistan. Review of financial literacy and consumer protection in the non-bank credit sector. Tashkent: CBU Publishing. 2023 – 145 p.
4. Alimova, M., Khodjaeva, N. Financial inclusion and consumer rights in transition economies: The case of Central Asia. Tashkent: 2022 – 192 p.
5. Armendáriz, B., Morduch, J. The economics of microfinance. Cambridge: MIT Press. 2010 – 436 p.