HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

THE EVOLUTION OF RADAR SYSTEMS AMID THE WIDESPREAD USE OF UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES: LESSONS FROM LOCAL CONFLICTS

Akhrar Anarbekovich Ermetov

Military Institute of Information and Communication Technologies and

Communications Senior Lecturer, Department of Ground Forces Air Defense

Email: boburnurmatov1987@gmail.com

Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.24532874

Abstract. This article presents a structured narrative review of publicly available research on radar development, small-object sensing challenges, and lessons reported in local and regional conflicts. The study compares historical stages of radar development, identifies recurrent research themes, and discusses the limitations of interpreting conflict observations as universal technical evidence. Results are presented as a qualitative synthesis rather than experimental performance claims. Particular attention is given to publications by Uzbekistan-based researchers alongside international peer-reviewed and institutional sources. The analysis concludes that radar evolution is best understood as an interaction among sensor science, information management, institutional learning, and responsible evaluation, rather than as a single technological breakthrough.

Keywords: radar systems; unmanned aerial vehicles; airspace surveillance; local conflicts; technology evolution; literature review; Uzbekistan.

Annotatsiya. Ushbu maqolada radarlarni rivojlantirish, kichik obyektlarni aniqlashdagi qiyinchiliklar va mahalliy va mintaqaviy mojarolarda keltirilgan saboqlar bo'yicha ommaga ochiq tadqiqotlarning tizimli rivoyat sharhi keltirilgan. Tadqiqotda radarlarni rivojlantirishning tarixiy bosqichlari taqqoslanadi, takroriy tadqiqot mavzulari aniqlanadi va mojarolarni kuzatishni universal texnik dalillar sifatida talqin qilishning cheklovlari muhokama qilinadi. Natijalar eksperimental samaradorlik da'volari emas, balki sifatli sintez sifatida taqdim etiladi. Xalqaro ekspertlar tomonidan ko'rib chiqilgan va institutsional manbalar bilan bir qatorda O'zbekistonda joylashgan tadqiqotchilarning nashrlariga alohida e'tibor qaratiladi. Tahlil shuni ko'rsatadiki, radarlarning evolyutsiyasi bitta texnologik yutuq sifatida emas, balki sensorlar fani, axborotni boshqarish, institutsional o'rganish va mas'uliyatli baholash o'rtasidagi o'zaro ta'sir sifatida tushuniladi.

Kalit so'zlar: radar tizimlari; uchuvchisiz uchish apparatlari; havo maydonini kuzatish; mahalliy mojarolar; texnologiya evolyutsiyasi; adabiyotlar sharhi; O'zbekiston.

Аннотация. В данной статье представлен структурированный обзор общедоступных исследований по разработке радаров, проблемам обнаружения малых объектов и урокам, извлеченным из локальных и региональных конфликтов. В исследовании сравниваются исторические этапы развития радаров, выявляются повторяющиеся темы исследований и обсуждаются ограничения интерпретации наблюдений за конфликтами как универсальных технических доказательств. Результаты представлены в виде качественного синтеза, а не экспериментальных заявлений о производительности. Особое внимание уделяется публикациям

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

исследователей из Узбекистана наряду с международными рецензируемыми и институциональными источниками. Анализ приходит к выводу, что эволюцию радаров лучше всего понимать как взаимодействие сенсорной науки, управления информацией, институционального обучения и ответственной оценки, а не как единый технологический прорыв.

Ключевые слова: радиолокационные системы; беспилотные летательные аппараты; наблюдение за воздушным пространством; локальные конфликты; эволюция технологий; обзор литературы; Узбекистан.

INTRODUCTION

Radar has developed from an early means of detecting airborne objects into a family of sensing technologies used in aviation, meteorology, navigation, and security. Its historical development has involved advances in electronics, signal processing, computing, and the interpretation of complex environmental information. The proliferation of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) has intensified academic attention to the limitations of legacy assumptions about the types of objects occupying airspace [1–3].

The diversity of contemporary UAVs complicates broad generalizations. Platforms vary considerably in size, construction, operating environment, and purpose. Academic literature therefore increasingly treats small-object observation as a multidimensional scientific challenge rather than as a problem with a universally applicable technical solution [1]. At the same time, studies of conflicts warn that operational reports are shaped by incomplete information, institutional incentives, and rapidly changing circumstances [2, 3].

Research from Uzbekistan offers a relevant regional perspective. Batirov and Urinov discussed the changing organization of air defense in local wars and armed conflicts [4]. Toshaliyev examined the significance of UAV employment in armed conflicts [5]. More recent work associated with Uzbekistan-based specialists has addressed the wider challenges faced by air-defense institutions [6]. These publications contribute to the regional scholarly discussion, although they differ in scope, methodology, and evidentiary strength.

The aim of this article is to analyze, at a non-operational level, how the widespread use of UAVs has influenced the scholarly understanding of radar-system evolution. The research questions are: (1) What broad historical transitions characterize radar development? (2) Which recurring themes appear in contemporary research on UAV-related sensing? (3) What methodological lessons can be drawn from open-source accounts of local conflicts?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study employed a structured narrative literature-review approach. Sources were selected for their relevance to radar history, UAV-related sensing research, and analyses of local or regional conflicts. The review incorporated peer-reviewed technical literature, publications by established research organizations, and accessible studies authored by Uzbekistan-based researchers. No classified information, operational radar settings, system vulnerabilities, or deployment procedures were used.

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

The literature was organized into three analytical groups: foundational and technical reviews of radar sensing; institutional analyses of UAV use and technological change; and regional scholarship discussing air-defense development. The analytical unit was a documented theme or claim rather than an individual engagement or system performance figure. This choice reduces the risk of treating unverified operational accounts as comparable experimental observations.

The review used qualitative thematic coding: historical technological transition, changing observation requirements, information interpretation, organizational adaptation, and evidentiary limitations. Themes were compared across sources, with attention to publication date, institutional context, and the difference between empirical observations and authors' interpretations. Because the source set was purposively selected and not a comprehensive systematic-review corpus, no pooled effect sizes or quantitative estimates are reported.

Table 1. Analytical framework for the literature review

Analytical dimension	Review question	Type of evidence
Historical evolution	How have radar concepts and research priorities changed?	Technical reviews and historical synthesis
UAV-related sensing	What scientific challenges recur across publications?	Peer-reviewed research reviews
Conflict-related learning	What broad institutional lessons are reported?	Public research reports
Regional scholarship	How is the subject discussed by Uzbekistan-based authors?	Accessible regional publications
Evidence quality	What can and cannot be concluded?	Source comparison and limitations analysis

Table 1 clarifies that the article evaluates published knowledge rather than presenting an engineering design or field trial. Separating technical research from conflict narratives helps prevent unsupported causal claims. The framework also makes regional scholarship visible without treating publication origin as proof of methodological quality.

RESULTS

Broad stages in the evolution of radar research

The reviewed literature supports a broad, non-exclusive periodization. Earlier radar research emphasized the establishment of reliable object detection and measurement. Subsequent developments expanded electronic processing, digital computation, and the ability to interpret increasingly complex

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

observational environments. Contemporary literature places additional emphasis on the management and interpretation of heterogeneous sensing information [1]. These periods overlap and should not be treated as sharply separated generations.

Table 2. Qualitative stages of radar-system evolution

Stage	Dominant scholarly emphasis	General implication
Early radar development	Detection and measurement of airborne objects	Foundation of radar science
Digital transformation	Computation and interpretation of sensor returns	Expanded information-processing research
Contemporary research	Diverse aerial objects and complex environments	Greater emphasis on validation and interpretation
Emerging research directions	Data quality, interdisciplinary sensing, and governance	Need for transparent evaluation standards

Table 2 shows that the history of radar cannot be reduced to a succession of hardware replacements. Changes in information processing and research methodology are equally important. The categories are analytical and do not imply that all countries or institutions follow the same development trajectory.

Research themes associated with widespread UAV use

A technical review in Sensors describes how UAV-related sensing research considers the physical diversity of aerial objects and the challenges of interpreting observations in complex environments [1]. This literature supports the general conclusion that older assumptions based primarily on conventional aircraft do not always transfer directly to small unmanned platforms. It does not, however, establish that any single sensor technology is sufficient in every environment.

Public conflict studies similarly describe rapid cycles of technological adaptation. Pettyjohn characterizes drone warfare in Ukraine as evolutionary rather than a single decisive revolution, emphasizing the reciprocal nature of innovation [2]. A later Australian Army Research Centre study extends the documented period and underscores the provisional nature of lessons from an ongoing conflict [3]. These findings favor cautious historical interpretation over definitive predictions.

Table 3. Recurring themes identified in the reviewed sources

Theme	Literature-based observation	Interpretive caution
Diversity of UAVs	Unmanned aircraft differ in form and operating context	Avoid universal claims about all UAVs

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

Information complexity	Interpretation of sensor observations is a research concern	Do not equate data volume with reliable knowledge
Technological adaptation	Published conflict studies describe rapid changes	Avoid attributing changes to one cause
Institutional learning	Education and evidence review are recurring themes	Conflict cases are context dependent
Research transparency	Validation and reporting quality matter	Do not invent comparative performance figures

The findings in Table 3 are qualitative themes, not measured improvements in radar performance. Their importance lies in identifying the scope of the research problem and the need for disciplined evaluation. Further work would require reproducible civilian or otherwise appropriately authorized datasets before quantitative conclusions could be justified.

Contribution of Uzbekistan-based scholarship

Regional publications provide an additional interpretive perspective. Batirov and Urinov examined organizational aspects of air defense in local conflicts and highlighted the broader relationship between air threats and defense institutions [4]. Toshaliyev addressed the significance of UAVs in armed conflicts [5]. Ermetov's 2026 publication considers challenges to air-defense systems in contemporary conflicts [6]. Read together, these sources demonstrate continuing local scholarly interest in technological and organizational change, but they should not be treated as interchangeable with independently validated radar trials.

The review found that the strongest contribution of the regional literature to this article is contextual: it identifies issues considered important in the educational and research environment of Uzbekistan. The available materials do not provide a common dataset permitting a quantitative comparison of radar systems across conflicts. Accordingly, this article makes no claims about specific equipment superiority, detection probabilities, or operational effectiveness.

DISCUSSION

The literature suggests three broad implications. First, radar evolution should be understood as part of a larger history of sensing, computation, and human interpretation. Second, the proliferation of UAVs has broadened the range of objects and contexts that researchers must consider. Third, accounts of local conflicts are useful for identifying questions but are not, by themselves, controlled evidence of technical performance [1, 3].

These observations are consistent with the regional discussion of changing air-defense institutions [4, 6], although regional and international sources differ in their aims and research methods. A careful academic synthesis therefore distinguishes between established physical principles, technical review conclusions, and context-dependent claims drawn from conflict reporting. This distinction is especially important where access to primary datasets is limited.

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

The study has several limitations. Its source selection was purposive rather than exhaustive; available publications may overrepresent prominent conflicts; institutional reports may emphasize particular strategic perspectives; and descriptions of rapidly evolving events can become outdated. In addition, the study did not conduct laboratory experiments, field measurements, interviews, or statistical meta-analysis. These limitations prevent causal or quantitative claims about radar-system effectiveness.

Future research may focus on transparent historiography, systematic bibliometric mapping, standardized terminology, and reproducible evaluation using appropriately authorized non-sensitive datasets. In educational settings, comparative analysis of source quality and the distinction between observation and inference can strengthen critical technical literacy without relying on operationally sensitive information.

CONCLUSION

The widespread use of UAVs has become a significant subject in the contemporary literature on radar and airspace surveillance. The reviewed sources indicate that radar evolution reflects not only changes in sensing hardware but also developments in digital interpretation, evidence assessment, and institutional learning. Studies of local conflicts illustrate the speed of technological change while also exposing the limits of generalizing from incomplete public reporting.

The inclusion of Uzbekistan-based publications situates the topic within regional scholarship and demonstrates its relevance to local academic discussion. The article's findings remain a qualitative literature synthesis; they do not establish measured improvements in any particular radar system. Further scientific progress depends on clearly defined research questions, transparent data provenance, and reproducible, ethically appropriate evaluation.

REFERENCES

1. "Threats from and Countermeasures for Unmanned Aerial and Underwater Vehicles." Sensors, 22(10), 3896, 2022. https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/22/10/3896
2. Pettyjohn, S. Evolution Not Revolution: Drone Warfare in Russia's 2022 Invasion of Ukraine. Center for a New American Security, 2024. https://www.cnas.org/publications/reports/evolution-not-revolution
3. Molloy, O. Drones in Modern Warfare (2024–2026): Lessons Learnt from the War in Ukraine. Australian Army Research Centre, 2026. https://doi.org/10.61451/2675166
4. Batirov, D. B., and Urinov, F. S. "Features of the Organization of Air Defense in Modern Local Wars and Armed Conflicts." Obrazovanie, nauka i innovatsionnye idei v mire, Part 7.2, 2022. https://staff.tiiame.uz/storage/users/124/articles/3P5xQmPP2iW4M9n0cipWub5RkZTQ9WiaA4SzUJMQ.pdf
5. Toshaliyev, A. E. "Uchuvchisiz uchish apparatlarini qurolli mojarolarda qo'llashning ahamiyati to'g'risida" [On the Significance of UAV Use in Armed Conflicts]. 2024. https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/science-research/article/view/54411
6. Ermetov, A. A. "Attacks on Air Defense Systems in Modern Military Conflicts and Methods of Counteraction." New Innovations in National Education, 3(11), 2026. https://reandpub.uz/nine/uz_Latn/article/view/1380

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

7. Iorillo, P., and Simonetti, R. M. “Electromagnetic Operations in Ukraine: Lessons Learned, Capability Development and Future Implications.” The RUSI Journal, 170(6–7), 90–98, 2025. https://doi.org/10.1080/03071847.2025.2561585