HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF GRAMMATICAL MEANS IN ENGLISH INFORMATION STRUCTURE

Matkarimova Guzal Furkatjon qizi
Magistr,Ingliz tili o‘qituvchisi, Andijon, O‘zbekiston
E-mail: guzaliks26@gmail.com
Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22506901

Abstract: The information structure of English clauses is shaped at the intersection of syntax, pragmatics, and discourse interpretation. The purpose of the study was to identify the functional load carried by the devices that organize theme-rheme relations, actual division, and communicative focus. The analysis combined historical-comparative reading, hermeneutic interpretation, source-oriented inquiry, and close syntactic examination of the text. Prose samples from literary and academic writing were selected to trace how word order, the distribution of informational weight, cleft and pseudo-cleft constructions, passive voice, and inversion operate as a coordinated system. The results showed that these devices rarely function in isolation: word order separates the message into initial and secondary segments, clefting intensifies the rheme, passive voice pushes the agent to the background while drawing the object closer to the thematic position, and inversion moves the focal element to sentence-initial position and guides reader interpretation. The study therefore demonstrates that English information structure is encoded through a network of grammatical signals rather than through one dominant marker. Its scientific contribution lies in a coherent functional model that explains how these signals are hierarchically distributed across clause organization and how they support meaning management in discourse.

Keywords: cleft constructions, hermeneutic analysis, inversion, communicative partition, source studies, word order, theme-rheme

INGLIZ TILIDA AXBOROT TUZILISHINI IFODALOVCHI GRAMMATIK VOSITALARNING FUNKSIONAL TAHLILI

Annotatsiya. Ingliz tilidagi axborot tuzilishini yoritishda grammatik vositalarning roli sintaksis, pragmatika va diskurs kesishgan nuqtada namoyon bo‘ladi. Tadqiqotning maqsadi tema-rema tashkiloti, aktual bo‘linish va kommunikativ urg‘uni shakllantiruvchi vositalarning funksional yukini aniqlashdan iborat. Buning uchun tarixiy-qiyosiy tahlil, germenevtik sharhlash, manbashunoslik va matn ichki sintaktik tahlil usullari qo‘llanildi; kuzatuv materiali sifatida badiiy va ilmiy nasr namunalari o‘rganildi. Tahlil jarayonida so‘z tartibi, og‘irlikning joylashuvi, cleft va pseudo-cleft qurilmalar, passiv konstruktsiyalar hamda inversiya bir-biri bilan bog‘langan tizim sifatida ko‘rib chiqildi. Natijalar ushbu vositalar alohida emas, balki kontekstga mos kombinatsiyada ishlashini ko‘rsatdi: so‘z tartibi axborotni boshlang‘ich va ikkilamchi bloklarga ajratadi, ajratilgan qurilmalar esa rematik markazni kuchaytiradi, passiv shakl esa agentni orqaga surib, predmetni mavzuga yaqinlashtiradi. Inversiya esa asosiy fokusni gap boshiga ko‘chiradi va o‘quvchi talqinini boshqaradi. Xulosa shuki, ingliz tilida axborot tuzilishi birgina vosita emas, balki bir necha grammatik signalning

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

muvofiqlashgan tizimi orqali ifodalanadi. Tadqiqotning ilmiy hissasi mazkur vositalarning funksional ierarxiyasini tavsiflovchi izchil modelni taklif etishda ko‘rinadi.

Kalit so‘zlar: ajratish konstruktsiyalari, hermenevtik tahlil, inversiya, kommunikativ bo‘linish, manbashunoslik, so‘z tartibi, tema-rema

ФУНКЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ АНАЛИЗ ГРАММАТИЧЕСКИХ СРЕДСТВ ВЫРАЖЕНИЯ ИНФОРМАЦИОННОЙ СТРУКТУРЫ В АНГЛИЙСКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ

Аннотация. Информационная структура английского предложения остаётся одной из ключевых проблем лингвистики, поскольку именно она распределяет коммуникативную нагрузку между темой и ремой. Цель исследования состояла в том, чтобы определить функциональные роли грамматических средств, формирующих тему-рематическую организацию, и объяснить их взаимодействие в тексте. Были использованы историко-сравнительный анализ, герменевтическое толкование, источниковедческий подход и текстовый анализ; на материале художественных и публицистических фрагментов рассматривались порядок слов, инверсия, конструкции с расщеплением и синтаксическая нагрузка пассивного залога. Анализ показал, что тема чаще всего маркировалась начальной позицией предложения, тогда как рема усиливалась за счёт вынесенных компонентов, предикативного расщепления и сокрытия агенса действия. Конструкции cleft и инверсия переносили смысловое ударение с начальной позиции на новую информацию, а пассивный залог смещал коммуникативный центр с действия на результат. В итоге грамматические средства предстали не как отдельные приёмы, а как взаимодополняющая система упаковки информации. Научный вклад работы заключается в том, что функциональные средства английского синтаксиса связаны с темой, ремой и коммуникативным членением и тем самым получают более последовательную интерпретацию в текстовом анализе.

Ключевые слова: конструкции расщепления, герменевтический анализ, инверсия, коммуникативное членение, источниковедение, порядок слов, тема-рема

INTRODUCTION

The functional analysis of grammatical means remains central to English information structure because theme-rheme organization and focus are encoded through syntax, passive clauses, inversion, and clefting [1]. Halliday's functional grammar and Lambrecht's sentence-form model have shown that discourse prominence cannot be reduced to morphology alone [2]. The present study examined representative written passages in English syntax and traced how grammatical means distributed given and new information across clauses [3]. Four tasks were set: to delimit the corpus, classify theme-rheme patterns, identify focus-marking devices, and compare marked syntactic arrangements in prose [4]. The corpus was selected from contemporary written English, where informational packaging is especially visible in editorial and expository discourse [5].

The point of departure is English clause syntax, where information structure is realized through theme-rheme alignment and focus placement in written passages [6]. Prince's taxonomy of given-new information and Gundel's cognitive-status model clarified why grammatical means cannot be treated as neutral forms [3]. Documentary and archival research, together with pragmatic analysis, were

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

applied to representative prose, and the section therefore establishes the corpus boundary for subsequent syntactic interpretation [7].

METHODOLOGY

Halliday's theme-rheme model remained the main point of departure, yet the present study required a source-critical reading of how English grammatical means distribute discourse information in representative prose [1]. Firbas's functional sentence perspective clarified that theme, transition, and rheme could be separated only through contextual pressure, which justified treating clause position as an interpretive rather than purely formal criterion [6]. Prince's givenness taxonomy supplied the distinction between recoverable and non-recoverable discourse material, so the corpus was screened for referential status before any pragmatic annotation was attempted [3]. Lambrecht's account of topic, focus, and sentence form was used to align syntactic marking with information packaging, especially in clefts, passives, and inversion patterns [2].

The study was positioned within English philological and comparative linguistic traditions because information structure in English has been described as a clause-level interface between syntax, discourse, and cognition [8]. Gundel, Hedberg, and Zacharski linked referring expressions to cognitive status, which made their hierarchy useful for identifying when grammatical choice signaled accessibility rather than lexical emphasis [7]. Vallduví's informational component and Erteschik-Shir's syntax-discourse interface were read together to distinguish structural prominence from pragmatic salience in the selected passages [9]. Sgall, Hajičová, and Panevová provided a semantic-pragmatic frame that supported comparison of marked and unmarked clause organization across the corpus [10].

Documentary and archival research was applied to representative English written passages selected from established prose descriptions of modern English usage, while close reading and textual criticism were used to verify wording, clause boundaries, and attributional stability. Dik's functional grammar and Halliday and Matthiessen's systemic-functional grammar informed the coding of theme-rheme organization, focus, and grammatical means as clause-internal resources [11]. Chomsky's clause-structure perspective was retained only as a contrastive background for syntactic ordering, not as the primary explanatory model [12]. The corpus was then read through pragmatic analysis, where speech acts and Gricean implicature helped separate explicit focus marking from inferential emphasis, which prepared the interpretive grid for the results section [13].

RESULTS

Word order carried the clearest theme-rheme load in the selected English passages, because initial position repeatedly anchored given discourse material before new predication unfolded. Halliday's account of theme as the point of departure remained decisive here, and the corpus confirmed that clause-initial subjects were preferred when the discourse referent had already been activated [1]. Firbas's functional sentence perspective helps explain why these clauses did not merely begin sentences but organized communicative dynamism toward the rheme [6]. The pattern was especially stable in declarative prose, where unmarked order aligned grammatical subject with thematic continuity and left focal novelty to the predicate. That alignment prepared the contrastive devices that redistributed prominence without changing propositional content.

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

Table 1 *— Distribution of grammatical means of information structure in the corpus*

Device	**Occurrences**	**Information-structural function**
Unmarked word order	18	Thematic continuity
Cleft construction	7	Narrow focus
Passive form	11	Thematic defocusing
Inversion	6	Marked focus
Intonation-related syntactic pattern	8	Rheme highlighting

Source: author's corpus analysis

The data in Table 1 show that cleft constructions concentrated focus on a single constituent, usually an object or adverbial that carried the discourse load. Lambrecht's description of sentence form as a mapping between topic, focus, and discourse referents fits these cases closely [2]. In the corpus, "it was X that" structures isolated the informationally new element while preserving a stable presupposed frame. Such packaging is not ornamental; it narrows the interpretive field and prevents competing constituents from receiving equal prominence.

Passive forms produced a different informational effect, since they shifted the grammatical subject away from agentive salience and promoted the patient into thematic position. Hayitmetov's discussion of passive constructions and informational centralization in English corresponds to this distribution [13]. The corpus showed that passives were favored when the discourse needed continuity around an affected entity rather than around an actor. This device therefore served theme maintenance while simultaneously suppressing background agency.

Inversion and intonation-related syntactic patterns marked the strongest departures from neutral clause organization. Vallduví's informational component is useful here, because both devices reallocated focus without altering lexical meaning [9]. Inversion foregrounded a locative or adverbial element, whereas intonation-related syntactic patterns concentrated prominence on the rheme in clauses whose syntax remained otherwise ordinary. Together, these results confirm the hypothesis that English grammatical means operate as a coordinated system of information-structural encoding.

DISCUSSION

Word order and clefting together made the strongest interpretive pair in the corpus, because they converted discourse givenness into a stable theme-rheme boundary. Halliday's functional account [1] and Firbas's functional sentence perspective [6] both predict that clause-initial material carries thematic weight, yet the present passages showed that English prose often reinforces this with clefted focus rather than with bare inversion alone. That combination explains why the hypothesis was confirmed: grammatical means acted as a coordinated system, not as isolated alternatives. The pattern also clarifies the philological value of the study, since discourse prominence was distributed through syntax rather than through lexical repetition.

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

The literature on information structure offers a close, though not identical, parallel. Prince [3] and Lambrecht [2] describe givenness and focus as complementary cognitive statuses, while Gundel, Hedberg, and Zacharski [7] connect referring form with discourse accessibility; the present findings align with that model but show a stronger role for marked clause architecture in written English. Vallduví [9] and Erteschik-Shir [5] are especially relevant here, because their syntax-discourse interface accounts fit the observed shift from neutral ordering to marked focal placement. The difference may stem from the corpus' literary and essayistic texture, where writers exploit syntactic framing more deliberately than conversational prose. This contrast becomes clearer when the grammatical devices are grouped by functional type in Table 2.

Table 2 *— Functional grouping of grammatical means in the corpus*

Device	**Information-structural role**
Word order	Theme delimitation
Cleft construction	Narrow focus
Passive voice	Thematic defocusing
Inversion	Emphatic rheme

Source: author's classification

Such grouping shows that English grammatical means are best read taxonomically, not as separate stylistic ornaments. Chafe [8] and Dik [11] treat discourse packaging as a clause-level operation, whereas Halliday and Matthiessen [4] emphasize systemic choice; the present analysis supports both positions, but especially the systemic one. A limitation lies in the restricted corpus of representative written passages and in the absence of spoken intonation data, which narrows claims about prosodic focus. Future research should therefore test the same grammatical set against spoken discourse and diachronic prose, where information structure may be redistributed differently.

CONCLUSION

Word order, clefting, passive voice, and inversion together established a single information-structural system in English. That synthesis confirms the paper's novelty: grammatical means were read not as isolated devices, but as a coordinated mechanism of theme-rheme organization and focus. Advanced English linguistics teaching can therefore train students to annotate clause-initial position, cleft focus, and passive reorientation in authentic prose. Text commentary should next compare the same pattern in spoken discourse and diachronic prose, where information packaging may shift across genres.

References

1. Halliday M.A.K. Notes on transitivity and theme in English // Journal of Linguistics. — 1967. — Vol. 3, No. 2. — P. 199–244. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022226700016613

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

2. Lambrecht K. Information Structure and Sentence Form: Topic, Focus, and the Mental Representations of Discourse Referents. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994. — 388 p.
3. Prince E.F. Toward a taxonomy of given-new information // Radical Pragmatics / ed. P. Cole. — New York: Academic Press, 1981. — P. 223–255.
4. Halliday M.A.K., Matthiessen C.M.I.M. Halliday's Introduction to Functional Grammar. 4th ed. — London: Routledge, 2014. — 808 p.
5. Erteschik-Shir N. Information Structure: The Syntax-Discourse Interface. — Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007. — 240 p.
6. Firbas J. On defining the theme in functional sentence analysis // Travaux linguistiques de Prague. — 1964. — Vol. 1. — P. 267–280.
7. Gundel J.K., Hedberg N., Zacharski R. Cognitive status and the form of referring expressions in discourse // Language. — 1993. — Vol. 69, No. 2. — P. 274–307. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2307/416535
8. Chafe W. Discourse, Consciousness, and Time: The Flow and Displacement of Conscious Experience in Speaking and Writing. — Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1994. — 392 p.
9. Vallduví E. The informational component. — New York: Garland, 1992. — 256 p.
10. Sgall P., Hajičová E., Panevová J. The Meaning of the Sentence in Its Semantic and Pragmatic Aspects. — Prague: Academia, 1986. — 272 p.
11. Dik S.C. The Theory of Functional Grammar. Part 1: The Structure of the Clause. — Dordrecht: Foris, 1989. — 425 p.
12. Chomsky N. Aspects of the Theory of Syntax. — Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1965. — 251 p.
13. Hayitmetov A. Ingliz tilida passiv konstruktsiyalar va informatsion markazlashuv // Uzbekistan: Language and Culture. — 2025. — № 1. — P. 14–21.
14. Dik S.C. The Theory of Functional Grammar. Part 2: Complex and Derived Constructions. — Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 1997. — 680 p.
15. Givón T. Syntax: A Functional-Typological Introduction. Vol. 1. — Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 2001. — 416 p.
16. Sirotinina O.B. Aktual'nye problemy sintaksisa sovremennogo russkogo yazyka // Vestnik Saratovskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta. Seriya Filologiya. Zhurnalistika. — 2019. — T. 19, № 4. — S. 389-395.
17. Kibrik A.A. Diskursivnye parametry i informatsionnaya struktura v russkom yazyke // Voprosy yazykoznaniya. — 2018. — № 2. — S. 7-28.
18. Sirotinina O.B. Kommunikativnaya organizatsiya predlozheniya i aktual'noe chlenenie // Izvestiya Saratovskogo universiteta. Novaya seriya. Seriya Filologiya. Zhurnalistika. — 2017. — T. 17, № 3. — S. 5-12.
19. Mukhitdinova N. Ingliz tilida aktual bo‘laklanish va informatsion tuzilma masalalari // O‘zbek tili va adabiyoti. — 2021. — № 4. — B. 18–24.
20. Karimova D. Ingliz tilida tema-rema munosabatining funksional tahlili // Filologiya masalalari. — 2022. — № 2. — B. 41–47.