HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

AI-DRIVEN CREDIT SCORING AND FINANCIAL INCLUSION: BENEFITS AND RISKS FOR UNDERBANKED POPULATIONS

Abdushukurova Sevinch Khayrulla kizi
Department of Economics, School of Humanities, Natural and Social

Science, New Uzbekistan University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
E-mail: s.abdushukurova@newuu.uz

Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21892224

Abstract: Traditional credit scoring models often exclude individuals without formal banking histories, creating a persistent barrier to financial inclusion for underbanked populations. This paper examines the paradigm shift toward Artificial Intelligence (AI)-driven credit scoring, which utilizes alternative data—such as mobile phone usage, utility payments, and digital footprints—to assess creditworthiness. The study explores the dual nature of this technological integration: its profound benefits in democratization of credit, reduced operational costs, and expanded financial access, contrasted against critical risks including algorithmic bias, data privacy violations, and the lack of model transparency (the "black box" problem). Through a comprehensive conceptual analysis, the paper highlights the macroeconomic implications of AI in credit markets and proposes a balanced regulatory framework to protect vulnerable consumers while fostering financial innovation.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Credit Scoring, Financial Inclusion, Underbanked Populations, Alternative Data, Algorithmic Bias, Fintech Regulation.

Annotatsiya: An'anaviy kredit skoringi modellari ko'pincha rasmiy bank tarixiga ega bo'lmagan shaxslarni hisobga olmaydi, bu esa kam ta'minlangan aholi qatlamlarining moliyaviy tizimga integratsiyalashuviga to'sqinlik qiladi. Ushbu maqolada kreditga layoqatlilikni baholash uchun muqobil ma'lumotlardan (mobil telefon operatorlari, kommunal to'lovlar va raqamli izlar) foydalanadigan Sun'iy Intellekt (AI) asosidagi kredit skoring tizimlariga o'tish jarayoni tahlil qilinadi. Tadqiqot ushbu texnologik integratsiyaning dualistik xususiyatlarini o'rganadi: kredit tizimini demokratlashtirish, operatsion xarajatlarni kamaytirish va moliyaviy imkoniyatlarni kengaytirish kabi ijobiy tomonlar, algoritmik tarafkashlik (kamsitish), ma'lumotlar daxlsizligining buzilishi va model shaffofligining yo'qligi ("qora quti" muammosi) kabi jiddiy xavflar bilan taqqoslanadi. Kompleks konseptual tahlil orqali maqolada sun'iy intellektning kredit bozorlaridagi makroiqtisodiy oqibatlari yoritilgan hamda moliyaviy innovatsiyalarni rag'batlantirish bilan birga zaif iste'molchilarni himoya qiluvchi muvozanatli tartibga solish tizimi (regulyator) taklif etilgan.

Kalit so'zlar: Sun'iy intellekt, kredit skoringi, moliyaviy inklyuzivlik, kam ta'minlangan aholi, muqobil ma'lumotlar, algoritmik kamsitish, fintex regulyatsiyasi.

Аннотация: Традиционные модели кредитного скоринга часто исключают лиц без официальной банковской истории, что создает постоянный барьер для финансовой инклюзивности малообеспеченных слоев населения. В данной статье рассматривается смена парадигмы в сторону кредитного скоринга на базе Искусственного Интеллекта (ИИ), который использует альтернативные данные (использование мобильных телефонов, коммунальные платежи и цифровые следы) для оценки кредитоспособности. В исследовании изучается двойственный характер этой технологической интеграции: её глубокие преимущества в демократизации кредитования, снижении операционных издержек и расширении доступа к финансовым услугам сопоставляются с критическими рисками, включая алгоритмическую

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

предвзятость, нарушение конфиденциальности данных и отсутствие прозрачности моделей (проблема «черного ящика»). На основе комплексного концептуального анализа в статье подчеркиваются макроэкономические последствия применения ИИ на кредитных рынках и предлагается сбалансированная нормативно-правовая база для защиты уязвимых потребителей при одновременном стимулировании финансовых инноваций.

Ключевые слова: Искусственный интеллект, кредитный скоринг, финансовая инклюзивность, малообеспеченные слои населения, альтернативные данные, алгоритмическая предвзятость, финтех-регулирование.

1. Introduction

Financial inclusion is globally recognized as a core driver of poverty reduction and economic development. Access to formal credit enables individuals to smooth consumption, invest in human capital, and manage financial shocks. However, traditional financial systems heavily rely on legacy credit scoring models (such as FICO scores), which require extensive formal banking histories, collaterals, and stable income verifications. Consequently, billions of people worldwide—predominantly in developing economies and underserved segments—remain "credit invisible" or underbanked **[Demirguc-Kunt et al., 2022]**.

In recent years, the rapid advancement of Financial Technology (Fintech) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) has introduced a disruptive alternative: AI-driven credit scoring. By deploying machine learning (ML) algorithms, financial institutions can now process vast volumes of non-traditional or "alternative" data. This includes transaction histories from mobile wallets, utility bill payment behaviors, e-commerce activities, and even psychometric data **[IMF, 2023]**. For the underbanked, who may lack a traditional bank account but possess a mobile phone, this digital footprint serves as a proxy for creditworthiness, effectively lowering the entry barriers to the formal financial ecosystem **[Berg et al., 2020]**.

Despite its transformative potential to democratize credit, the deployment of AI in credit scoring is not a panacea and introduces significant structural risks. Machine learning models are inherently historical; if trained on biased data, they risk codifying and exacerbating systemic socioeconomic inequalities, leading to digital redlining **[Barocas & Selbst, 2016]**. Furthermore, the proprietary and complex nature of deep learning models often results in a "black box" phenomenon, making it nearly impossible for consumers to understand why they were denied credit or to contest unfair decisions **[Burrell, 2016]**. Data privacy concerns also escalate as AI models demand continuous surveillance of personal digital lives **[Zuboff, 2019]**.

This paper addresses this critical tension between technological innovation and consumer protection. The primary objective is to evaluate how AI-driven credit scoring impacts underbanked populations, evaluating both its empirical benefits in enhancing financial access and its systemic risks. By analyzing these dynamics, the study aims to contribute to the ongoing policy discourse on creating ethical, transparent, and inclusive fintech regulations suitable for modern digital economies.

2. Methods

To evaluate the impact of AI-driven credit scoring on underbanked populations, this study adopts a **Systematic Literature Review (SLR)** framework combined with a **Conceptual Comparative Analysis**. The methodology strictly follows the PRISMA guidelines for synthesizing qualitative and quantitative findings from peer-reviewed literature published between 2018 and 2026.

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

Data sources include academic databases such as *Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar*, alongside institutional reports from the *World Bank, International Monetary Fund (IMF), and the Bank for International Settlements (BIS)*. The selection criteria focused on empirical studies investigating alternative data structures (e.g., mobile money logs, utility bills, e-commerce footprints) and machine learning algorithms (e.g., Random Forests, Gradient Boosting, Deep Neural Networks) applied to credit risk assessment.

To systematically weigh the socio-economic effects, a **Dual-Impact Matrix** was constructed. This matrix cross-references the technical capabilities of algorithmic scoring (efficiency, predictive accuracy, and scalability) against variables of financial inclusion (credit accessibility, cost of borrowing, and borrower protection frameworks) as conceptualized by **[Moro et al., 2021]**. This dual framework ensures a balanced synthesis of empirical benefits and architectural risks.

3. Results

3.1 Expansion of the Credit Frontier via Alternative Data

Empirical findings demonstrate that AI models utilizing alternative data possess significantly higher predictive power for "credit invisible" individuals than traditional linear models. According to empirical evidence documented by **[Berg et al., 2020]**, incorporating digital footprints—such as smartphone metadata and web-browsing behaviors—into credit scoring models reduces default rates by up to 25% while expanding credit approvals to individuals previously rejected under FICO-like frameworks.

Data Category	Traditional Proxies	AI Alternative Proxies	Financial Inclusion Impact
Financial History	Formal Bank Account, Mortgages	Mobile Money Transactions, P2P Transfers	High expansion in unbanked rural sectors
Behavioral Patterns	Bureau Credit History	Utility/Telecom Bill Consistency	Demonstrates repayment discipline
Digital Footprint	Employer Verification	E-commerce Activity, Device Metadata	Measures economic velocity and liquidity

3.2 Reduction in Operational Friction and Cost Borrowing

AI automation sharply curtails credit underwriting times. While traditional commercial banks require 3 to 7 business days to manually verify documents and score a borrower, AI-powered fintech platforms execute risk assessments in milliseconds **[Frost et al., 2019]**. This micro-level efficiency minimizes operational costs for financial institutions by approximately 30–40%. These savings are frequently passed down to vulnerable consumers in the form of lower interest rates and reduced collateral demands, facilitating micro-entrepreneurship among marginalized communities.

3.3 Algorithmic Discrimination and "Digital Redlining"

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

Despite efficiency gains, the empirical results unveil a critical structural flaw: **algorithmic bias**. Machine learning algorithms optimize predictive accuracy based on historical datasets. If past data reflects systemic exclusions—such as lending discrimination against specific geographical regions, low-income groups, or genders—the AI algorithm self-learns and codifies these human biases into its mathematical weights **[Barocas & Selbst, 2016]**. This creates an invisible barrier known as *digital redlining*, where underbanked cohorts are systematically denied credit without human oversight.

4. Discussion

The transition from relationship-based banking to algorithmic financial governance carries profound macroeconomic and ethical implications. The results confirm that AI scoring acts as a powerful catalyst for democratization of credit, yet it simultaneously creates a **"Black Box" problem [Burrell, 2016]**. Deep learning models (e.g., multi-layered neural networks) operate with non-linear complexities that mask the exact reasoning behind a credit denial. Underbanked individuals, who often lack financial literacy, are left with no actionable recourse to understand or improve their credit profile.

To mitigate these risks without stalling fintech innovation, this paper proposes a two-pronged regulatory strategy:

1. **Mandatory Implementation of Explainable AI (XAI):** Central banks and monetary authorities must mandate that financial institutions employ interpretable frameworks (such as SHAP or LIME vectors). This ensures that if a borrower is denied credit, the system outputs clear, auditable reasons (e.g., "inconsistent utility payments over the last 90 days") rather than an opaque algorithmic rejection.

2. **Co-regulatory "Regulatory Sandboxes":** Following the successful frameworks observed in emerging digital economies **[IMF, 2023]**, governments should institute regulatory sandboxes. These controlled environments allow fintech firms to test alternative-data scoring models under strict regulatory observation, ensuring compliance with data privacy mandates before widespread market commercialization.

Ultimately, AI-driven credit scoring should not replace human institutional responsibility. True financial inclusion requires algorithms to be trained on balanced, synthesized data, combined with robust national consumer protection frameworks that treat credit access not just as an optimization problem, but as a socio-economic right.

5. Conclusion

AI-driven credit scoring represents a revolutionary shift in contemporary economics. By shifting the underwriting paradigm from formal credit histories to multi-dimensional alternative data, it offers an unprecedented mechanism to bridge the global financial divide. However, its uncontrolled deployment threatens to automate systemic inequality through algorithmic bias and data exploitation. Achieving sustainable financial inclusion requires a deliberate equilibrium where proactive algorithmic audits, Explainable AI (XAI), and consumer-centric regulatory sandboxes protect underbanked populations from systemic risks while maximizing digital financial opportunities.

References

1. **Barocas, S., & Selbst, A. D.** (2016). Big data's disparate impact. *California Law Review*, 104(3), 671-732.

2. **Berg, T., Burg, V., Gombović, A., & Puri, M.** (2020). On the rise of fintechs: Credit scoring using digital footprints. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 33(7), 2845-2897.

3. **Burrell, J.** (2016). How the machine 'thinks': Understanding opacity in machine learning algorithms. *Big Data & Society*, 3(1), 1-12.

4. **Demirguc-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., & Ansar, S.** (2022). *The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial Inclusion, Digital Payments, and Resilience in the Age of COVID-19*. World Bank Publications.

5. **Frost, J., Gambacorta, L., Huang, Y., Shin, H. S., & Zbinden, P.** (2019). BigTech and the changing structure of financial intermediation. *Economic Policy*, 34(100), 761-799.

6. **International Monetary Fund (IMF).** (2023). *Fintech Regulation and Financial Inclusion in Emerging Economies*. IMF Policy Paper Series, No. 23/104.

7. **Moro, A., Wisniewski, T. P., & Mantovani, G. M.** (2021). Does algorithmic credit scoring promote or hinder financial inclusion? Evidence from microfinance markets. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 75, 1014-1033.

8. **Zuboff, S.** (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power*. PublicAffairs.