HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH IN TEACHING ENGLISH TO ADULTS

Urunova Shakhlo Rafikovna

Supervisor, Associate Professor, PhD, Andijan State Technical Institute

E-mail: urunovashahlo23@gmail.com

Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21212723

Abstract: In today's rapidly evolving educational landscape, English has become the dominant international language of science, technology, diplomacy, and intercultural communication. Modern society no longer values passive knowledge of grammatical rules but instead demands the ability to use language actively and appropriately in authentic communicative situations. Globalization, the rapid expansion of digital communication technologies, and the growing integration of national economies into the global system have jointly reinforced English proficiency as an essential social and professional competence. This paper presents an integrative review of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) and its major derivatives — Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT), Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL), and Intercultural Communicative Competence (ICC) — which together constitute the dominant paradigm in contemporary English language pedagogy. Following an IMRAD structure, the study describes the methodology used to identify and synthesize relevant theoretical and empirical sources, presents the results of this synthesis across six thematic domains, and discusses the practical, institutional, and systemic challenges of implementing communicative approaches in Uzbekistan's higher education context. The paper concludes that a scientifically grounded, learner-centered paradigm shift is both necessary and achievable, provided that sustained investment is made in teacher professional development, assessment alignment, and the contextual adaptation of internationally developed methodologies.

Keywords: Communicative Language Teaching, Task-Based Language Teaching, Content and Language Integrated Learning, Intercultural Communicative Competence, formative assessment, technology-enhanced language learning, higher education, Uzbekistan

INTRODUCTION

In today's rapidly evolving educational landscape, English as an international language of science, technology, diplomacy, and intercultural communication has grown significantly in importance. Modern society demands not passive knowledge of grammatical rules, but the ability to actively use language in authentic communicative contexts. Globalization, the rise of digital communication technologies, and the integration of national economies into the world system have all reinforced English language proficiency as a vital social and professional competence.

Against this background, Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) and its derivatives — Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT), Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL), and Intercultural Communicative Competence (ICC) frameworks — collectively constitute the dominant paradigm in English language pedagogy worldwide. Unlike earlier grammar-translation and audio-lingual traditions, which treated language as a fixed system of rules to be memorized and drilled, communicative approaches treat language as a tool for meaning-making that is acquired most effectively through authentic use, negotiation of meaning, and meaningful interaction.

The shift toward communicative pedagogy is not merely a methodological preference; it is a response to well-documented mismatches between traditional instruction and the real-world demands

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

placed on language users. Graduates who can recite grammatical paradigms but cannot sustain a conversation, negotiate meaning, or write a coherent argument are increasingly seen as underprepared for academic mobility, international employment, and cross-cultural collaboration. This is particularly salient in transitional and developing higher-education systems, including that of Uzbekistan, where English-medium instruction, international academic exchange, and integration into global knowledge networks have become explicit policy priorities.

Despite broad international consensus on the theoretical and empirical superiority of communicative approaches, their consistent implementation faces persistent obstacles — ranging from insufficient teacher preparation to assessment systems that still reward discrete-point grammatical accuracy over communicative competence. The purpose of this paper is therefore twofold: first, to synthesize the theoretical foundations, empirical evidence base, and practical embodiments of CLT, TBLT, CLIL, formative assessment, ICC, and technology-enhanced language learning (TELL); and second, to critically examine the specific challenges and opportunities associated with their implementation in Uzbekistan's higher education context.

The paper is organized according to the IMRAD (Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion) structure. The Methods section outlines the review strategy used to identify and synthesize relevant sources. The Results section presents the synthesized findings across six thematic domains: the theoretical foundations of communicative competence, task-based instruction, content and language integration, formative assessment and learner autonomy, intercultural communicative competence, and technology-enhanced language learning. The Discussion section considers the cross-cutting implementation challenges and situates them within Uzbekistan's ongoing educational modernization, before the Conclusion offers recommendations for practice and future research.

METHODS

This study adopts the format of an integrative, narrative literature review rather than a primary empirical investigation. The review synthesizes theoretical frameworks, landmark empirical studies, and policy documents related to communicative approaches to English language teaching, with a particular focus on their applicability to higher education in Uzbekistan.

Source identification. Sources were identified through a combination of foundational texts in second language acquisition (SLA) and applied linguistics — including seminal works by Hymes, Canale and Swain, Krashen, and Byram — and empirical studies published in peer-reviewed journals and academic monographs. Priority was given to large-scale meta-analyses (e.g., Norris and Ortega's synthesis of 49 studies on form- versus meaning-focused instruction, and Black and Wiliam's review of over 250 studies on formative assessment) because such studies aggregate effect sizes across multiple contexts and therefore provide a more robust evidentiary basis than isolated classroom studies.

Inclusion criteria. Sources were included if they (a) addressed one of the six thematic domains examined in this paper — communicative competence theory, TBLT, CLIL, formative assessment and learner autonomy, ICC, or TELL/AI-assisted learning; (b) were published by recognized academic publishers or in peer-reviewed venues; and (c) offered either a theoretical model that has been widely adopted in the field or empirical evidence bearing on the effectiveness of a communicative approach. National policy documents relevant to Uzbekistan's higher-education reform, notably the Presidential Decree on the Development of Higher Education (2019), were included to ground the discussion in the local institutional context.

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

Analytical framework. Rather than presenting sources in a chronological or purely descriptive fashion, the review organizes the material thematically, tracing the logical progression from theory (competence models, acquisition hypotheses) to pedagogical instantiation (TBLT, CLIL) to assessment and learner-centered practice (formative assessment, autonomy) to sociocultural and technological extensions (ICC, TELL/AI). This thematic organization allows the Discussion section to identify cross-cutting implementation challenges — teacher professional development, assessment alignment, and contextual adaptation — that recur across all six domains rather than being specific to any single approach.

Limitations of the method. As an integrative review rather than a systematic review or meta-analysis, this study does not apply formal quality-appraisal instruments (e.g., PRISMA) or report pooled effect sizes calculated by the authors; instead, it relies on effect sizes and conclusions as reported in the cited meta-analyses. The review is also necessarily selective, prioritizing landmark and frequently cited works over an exhaustive survey of the field. These limitations are consistent with the genre of a conference thesis intended to orient practitioners and researchers rather than to provide a definitive systematic synthesis.

RESULTS

3.1. Theoretical Foundations of Communicative Competence

The theoretical foundations of Communicative Language Teaching rest upon Dell Hymes' influential concept of “communicative competence,” which argued that linguistic knowledge alone is insufficient — speakers must also possess the ability to use language appropriately in social contexts [1]. Hymes developed this concept in direct response to Chomsky's narrower notion of linguistic competence, arguing that knowing a language involves knowing not only whether an utterance is grammatically possible, but also whether it is feasible, appropriate, and actually performed in a given context.

Building on this, Canale and Swain developed a model encompassing four dimensions: grammatical competence, sociolinguistic competence, discourse competence, and strategic competence. This model remains the cornerstone of modern English language syllabus design, as it provides curriculum designers with a principled basis for balancing form-focused instruction with instruction in register, cohesion, and communication-repair strategies.

Krashen's Monitor Model further supports CLT's theoretical underpinnings by distinguishing between language acquisition — the natural, unconscious process occurring through meaningful exposure — and language learning, the formal study of rules. Genuine communicative input in a low-anxiety environment is the primary driver of acquisition [2]. This distinction has had lasting influence on classroom practice, motivating a shift away from anxiety-inducing error correction toward exposure-rich, meaning-focused instructional environments.

Long's Interaction Hypothesis posits that comprehensible input becomes most effective when negotiated between interlocutors, underpinning CLT's emphasis on pair work, group discussion, and collaborative tasks. Swain's Output Hypothesis complements this by arguing that producing language — speaking and writing — is not merely a result of learning, but a cause of it. Taken together, these theoretical strands establish a coherent rationale for classroom designs that maximize opportunities for learners to both receive and produce language under communicative pressure, rather than relying solely on explicit rule instruction.

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

3.2. Task-Based Language Teaching

TBLT organizes language instruction around meaningful tasks — activities in which learners use language as a means to achieve a communicative outcome. Tasks may include problem-solving, information-gap exercises, debates, simulations, role-plays, surveys, and project-based investigations. The criterion for success is the achievement of the communicative goal, not formal accuracy alone.

A landmark meta-analysis by Norris and Ortega (2000) covering 49 studies found that instruction focused on meaning and use produced significantly larger effect sizes on communicative outcome measures than form-only instruction [4]. Subsequent research has identified key task design features — information gap, reasoning demand, and pre-task planning time — as determinants of what aspects of language learners attend to during performance. These findings have practical implications for syllabus designers: tasks should be sequenced not merely by grammatical complexity but by their cognitive and interactional demands, since these factors more directly predict the depth of language processing learners engage in.

Integration of digital tools has significantly expanded TBLT's scope: interactive platforms, virtual reality simulations, and AI-assisted feedback systems now enable learners to engage in authentic communicative tasks with interlocutors across the globe. This technological expansion means that task-based syllabi are no longer constrained by the physical classroom; learners can now complete information-gap and collaborative tasks with peers or automated conversational partners located anywhere, considerably broadening the range of authentic communicative contexts available to instructors.

3.3. Content and Language Integrated Learning

Under CLIL, subject matter content — history, science, economics, engineering — is taught simultaneously in and through the English language, creating a dual-focused educational environment in which both language and disciplinary knowledge develop in parallel [5]. The theoretical rationale is grounded in Cummins' Interdependence Hypothesis and Vygotsky's concept of the Zone of Proximal Development, which emphasizes the role of scaffolded interaction in extending learner competence.

Research across European and Asian educational systems reveals that CLIL significantly enhances learners' lexical breadth, academic reading comprehension, and language proficiency without compromising subject-matter achievement. A large-scale longitudinal study of CLIL programs in Spain found that CLIL learners outperformed non-CLIL peers on standardized English proficiency tests by statistically significant margins.

In Uzbekistan, the Presidential Decree on the Development of Higher Education (2019) explicitly mandates the expansion of English-medium instruction, creating both the imperative and the institutional framework for systematic CLIL implementation. However, this requires substantial investment in teacher professional development, since CLIL instructors must simultaneously command subject-matter expertise, pedagogical content knowledge, and advanced English proficiency — a combination that is rarely addressed by conventional pre-service teacher training programs designed around single-subject specialization.

3.4. Formative Assessment and Learner Autonomy

Assessment in contemporary English language teaching has shifted from exclusive summative evaluation toward a richer integration of formative assessment practices. Black and Wiliam's landmark meta-analysis of over 250 studies demonstrated that feedback-rich formative assessment produces among the largest effect sizes of any educational intervention, with particularly pronounced benefits

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

for lower-achieving students [6]. Formative assessment encompasses peer assessment, self-assessment, portfolio-based evaluation, learning journals, and oral feedback during task performance.

Portfolio assessment allows learners to collect, reflect upon, and present evidence of their linguistic growth over time, fostering metacognitive awareness and a sense of ownership over learning. Learner autonomy, defined by Holec as "the ability to take charge of one's own learning," has been dramatically expanded by digital technologies: podcasting platforms, e-book libraries, language exchange networks, and AI tutoring applications enable learners to create rich, individualized language learning environments that extend instruction far beyond the formal classroom [7].

The convergence of formative assessment and learner autonomy has particular relevance for higher-education contexts where class sizes are large and contact hours are limited. When students are equipped with the metacognitive tools to self-monitor progress and the digital resources to practice independently, formative feedback delivered during limited class time can be leveraged far more efficiently than in systems relying exclusively on periodic summative testing.

3.5. Intercultural Communicative Competence

As global interaction intensifies, the ability to navigate cultural differences, interpret pragmatic nuances, and avoid communicative breakdowns has become indispensable. Byram's widely adopted model of ICC identifies five interrelated components: knowledge of one's own and others' social groups, skills of interpreting and relating, skills of discovery and interaction, attitudes of openness and curiosity, and critical cultural awareness [8].

The global spread of English as a Lingua Franca (ELF) further challenges traditional models that take native-speaker norms as the exclusive instructional target. Research on ELF communication reveals that successful interlocutors deploy compensatory and cooperative strategies — accommodation, repair, repetition, and clarification — distinct from native-speaker norms. This body of research suggests that instructional targets should shift from native-speaker imitation toward the cultivation of communicative flexibility and strategic competence that supports successful interaction among non-native speakers from diverse linguistic backgrounds.

In Uzbekistan's context, ICC education also entails developing learners' ability to represent their own national and regional culture in English, an essential competence for international academic and professional communication. This bidirectional orientation — preparing learners both to understand other cultures and to articulate their own — positions ICC not as a supplementary skill but as a core component of communicative competence for learners operating in an increasingly interconnected, multipolar academic and professional world.

3.6. Technology-Enhanced Language Learning and Artificial Intelligence

Technology-enhanced language learning (TELL) and artificial intelligence have opened new frontiers for personalized, adaptive instruction. Intelligent tutoring systems powered by natural language processing and machine learning can provide instant, individualized feedback on pronunciation, grammar, vocabulary, and writing style. A meta-analysis by Means et al. covering over 50 controlled studies found that blended learning produced significantly higher achievement gains than traditional classroom instruction on standardized measures of reading, listening, and writing [9].

Large language model-based AI tools represent the latest and potentially most transformative development in TELL. However, their integration raises important pedagogical and ethical questions: How can AI assistance support rather than replace genuine learning? How can assessment systems

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

maintain validity when AI writing assistance is ubiquitous? How can the benefits of AI-enhanced learning be equitably distributed across learners with different levels of digital access?

These questions are not merely theoretical; they carry direct implications for curriculum and assessment design. Institutions that fail to articulate clear policies on the acceptable use of AI writing and speaking assistance risk both compromising the validity of their assessments and widening equity gaps between learners with reliable digital access and those without. At the same time, thoughtfully integrated AI tools — particularly conversational agents capable of sustained, low-anxiety interaction — hold considerable promise for extending communicative practice opportunities beyond the limited contact hours available in most higher-education English programs.

3.7. Implementation Challenges

Despite extensive empirical support for communicative approaches, their consistent implementation in real educational settings faces practical, institutional, and systemic challenges. Chief among these is teacher professional development: CLT, TBLT, and CLIL require teachers who possess both theoretical knowledge and the practical skills to design meaningful tasks, manage interactive classrooms, and integrate digital tools productively. Research consistently identifies teacher professional development as the single most important lever for improving educational outcomes, yet in many educational systems — including those of Central Asian countries — investment in ongoing teacher learning remains insufficient.

Assessment alignment represents a second major challenge. In many contexts, communicative instruction exists in tension with traditional examination systems emphasizing discrete-point grammar testing and translation tasks. When high-stakes examinations reward accuracy on isolated grammatical items rather than communicative performance, teachers face a rational incentive to "teach to the test," undermining the intended benefits of communicative instruction regardless of how well it is designed.

A third challenge is the adaptation of internationally developed methodologies to local linguistic, cultural, and institutional contexts. CLT approaches were largely developed in English-speaking and Western European contexts, and direct transplantation without contextual adaptation can produce suboptimal results. Locally grounded action research, in which practicing teachers investigate the effectiveness of adapted communicative pedagogies in their own classrooms, represents a powerful and underutilized tool for context-sensitive educational development.

DISCUSSION

The integration of CLT, TBLT, CLIL, formative assessment, intercultural competence development, and technology-enhanced language learning into modern English education reflects a scientifically grounded, learner-centered paradigm shift of far-reaching significance. The empirical evidence base supporting these approaches is extensive, cumulative, and consistent across a wide range of educational contexts and learner populations, ranging from Norris and Ortega's synthesis of dozens of instructional studies to Black and Wiliam's review of hundreds of assessment studies.

Nevertheless, the results of this review indicate that the challenges to implementation are not specific to any single approach but recur across all six thematic domains examined. Teacher professional development emerges as the most consistently cited constraint: whether the goal is designing meaningful TBLT tasks, delivering subject content through CLIL, providing high-quality formative feedback, fostering intercultural competence, or integrating AI tools responsibly, the decisive variable is the preparedness of the teacher. This suggests that policy efforts aimed at

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

improving English language education in Uzbekistan should prioritize investment in sustained, practice-based teacher training over the adoption of any single methodological framework in isolation.

Assessment alignment constitutes a second cross-cutting theme. As long as high-stakes examinations continue to emphasize discrete-point grammar and translation, the incentive structure facing both teachers and learners will work against the goals of communicative instruction, however well designed. Aligning assessment formats — including the incorporation of formative, portfolio-based, and task-based assessment components — with communicative instructional goals is therefore not a peripheral concern but a precondition for the success of any communicative reform.

The third cross-cutting theme, contextual adaptation, is especially relevant for Uzbekistan, where CLT, TBLT, and CLIL frameworks developed primarily in English-speaking and Western European settings must be reconciled with local linguistic ecology (multilingual classrooms involving Uzbek, Russian, and regional languages), cultural norms around teacher authority and classroom interaction, and institutional resource constraints. Locally grounded action research — in which Uzbek higher-education instructors systematically investigate the effects of adapted communicative pedagogies in their own classrooms — offers a promising, currently underutilized mechanism for generating this contextually specific evidence base.

Finally, the rapid emergence of large language model-based AI tools introduces both opportunity and risk that existing communicative frameworks were not originally designed to address. On one hand, AI-powered conversational practice and adaptive feedback could substantially expand learners' opportunities for meaningful language use outside the classroom, directly reinforcing the acquisition-oriented principles underlying CLT. On the other hand, unless assessment practices and institutional policies evolve accordingly, the same tools risk undermining the validity of writing assessment and exacerbating inequities between learners with differential access to digital resources. This tension should be treated as a priority area for both policy development and future empirical research.

CONCLUSION

Effective implementation requires sustained investment in teacher professional development, coherent alignment between instructional design and assessment practice, and thoughtful adaptation of internationally developed methodologies to local realities. In the context of Uzbekistan's ongoing educational modernization, these challenges represent a genuine opportunity to develop a distinctively Central Asian communicative English language pedagogy grounded in both international best practice and deep knowledge of the local educational ecology.

Future research should include longitudinal studies of CLT and CLIL implementation in Uzbekistan's higher education system, comparative analyses of blended learning designs, and teacher-research partnerships focused on developing locally appropriate communicative task designs. Particular attention should be paid to the equitable integration of AI-based learning tools, the alignment of national examination systems with communicative learning outcomes, and the systematic evaluation of teacher professional development models tailored to the practical constraints faced by Uzbekistan's higher education institutions.

References

1. Hymes D. On Communicative Competence // Sociolinguistics / Ed. by J. B. Pride, J. Holmes. – Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1972. – P. 269–293.

HSR (LONDON),
HOUGHTON STREET REVIEW JOURNAL
ISSN: 2058-5519|Impact factor-15.9
http://houghtonstreetreview.com/index.php/hsr

2. Krashen S. D. Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition. – Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1982. – 202 p.
3. Ellis R. Task-Based Language Learning and Teaching. – Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003. – 387 p.
4. Norris J. M., Ortega L. Effectiveness of L2 Instruction: A Research Synthesis and Quantitative Meta-Analysis // Language Learning. – 2000. – Vol. 50. – No. 3. – P. 417–528.
5. Coyle D., Hood P., Marsh D. CLIL: Content and Language Integrated Learning. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010. – 183 p.
6. Black P., Wiliam D. Assessment and Classroom Learning // Assessment in Education. – 1998. – Vol. 5. – No. 1. – P. 7–74.
7. Holec H. Autonomy and Foreign Language Learning. – Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1981. – 60 p.
8. Byram M. Teaching and Assessing Intercultural Communicative Competence. – Clevedon: Multilingual Matters, 1997. – 124 p.
9. Means B. et al. Evaluation of Evidence-Based Practices in Online Learning: A Meta-Analysis and Review of Online Learning Studies. – Washington D.C.: U.S. Department of Education, 2010. – 94 p.